WORLD JOURNAL OF PHARMACEUTICAL RESEARCH
SJIF Impact Factor 8.074
Volume 8, Issue 6, 1199-1211. Review Article ISSN 2277– 7105

A CONCEPTUAL STUDY ON HYDROCELE: A CRITICAL REVIEW

Dr. Ankit Kumar*[1] and Dr. Mukund Dhule[2]

[1]PG. Scholar, Department of Shalya Tantra, Parul Institute of Ayurved, Parul University.
[2]HOD and Professor, Department of Shalya Tantra, Parul Institute of Ayurved, Parul University.

Article Received on
20 March 2019,
Revised on 10 April 2019,
Accepted on 30 April 2019,
DOI: 10.20959/wjpr20196-14892

***Corresponding Author**
Dr. Ankit Kumar
PG. Scholar, Department of Shalya Tantra, Parul Institute of Ayurved, Parul University.

ABSTRACT

Introduction: A hydrocele is defined as the pathological build-up of serous fluid in the pelvis and groin due to various etiologies such as diseases or trauma. It has distinct clinical manifestations, particularly discomfort and psychosocial distress. Understanding the anatomy, embryology, and physiology associated with hydrocele formation is crucial to understand its onset and progression. **Materials and Method:** Classification of hydrocele and its etiology, complications evaluation and management. **Conclusion:** Due to the range of classifications and etiologies of hydrocele in the pelvis and groin, a deliberate differential diagnosis is essential to avoiding imminent life-threatening complications as well as providing the appropriate treatment. **Results:** Appropriately classifying the hydrocele as primary, secondary communicating, secondary non communicating, microbe- induced, inflammatory, iatrogenic, trauma-induced, tumor-induced, canal of Neck, congenital, and giant is important for identifying the underlying etiology.

KEYWORDS: Hydrocele, Scrotal pain, Scrotal swelling, Incontinence.

INTRODUCTION

A hydrocele is marked by a fluid-filled sac typically found in the scrotum, and less commonly in the external genitalia and pelvic regions. It may be a manifestation of certain severe underlying diseases.

The fluid collection may result from patent processus vaginalis or an imbalance of secretion and absorption within the tunica vaginalis. A hydrocele can be organized into several

classifications that can affect males and females of any ages. Hydrocele is characteristically painless, but can lead to physical and psychological complications.

Further complications may be avoided if proper diagnostic and therapeutic techniques are employed. the anatomical, embryological, and physiological background of hydrocele, we comprehensively review its classifications, etiology, pathogenesis, secondary complications, evaluation, and management.

Anatomy, Embryology, and Physiology

The inguinal region, or groin, is located at the bottom of the anterior abdominal wall. It embodies a tubular passage known as the inguinal canal, which spans from the pelvis to the groin. The inguinal canal has two openings: the deep inguinal ring and superficial inguinal ring. Though the structure of the canal is similar among males and females, its function varies with gender.

For males, the canal allows the spermatic cord to pass between the testes and abdomen.

In females, the canal provides a path for the round ligament of the uterus from the uterus to the labium majus.

During embryonic development, the inguinal canal also embodies the processus vaginalis, a structure that develops from the peritoneum during gestational week 12.

In males, it extends through the inguinal canal into the scrotum, allowing the testes to descend into the scrotal sac.

Following completion of testicular descent, the processus vaginalis undergoes programmed cell death to obliterate the path between the peritoneum and scrotum. After closure, the lower portion of the processus.

Vaginalis becomes the tunica vaginalis testis. Failure to close results in a patent processus vaginalis, which leads to complications such as communicating hydrocele and inguinal hernia.

Failure to close the processus vaginalis in female's results in formation of a patent pouch of the peritoneum called the canal of Nuck. The canal of Nuck is also associated with complications of inguinal hernia and communicating hydrocele.[1]

Hydrocele results from the collection of fluid in the bilayered tunica vaginalis. This fluid typically accumulates in infants due to the patent processus vaginalis, allowing peritoneal fluid to flow through the processus vaginalis into the scrotum and surround the testicle.[2]

If the excess fluid cannot drain, accumulation results. The potential origins of fluid in the scrotum are outlined.

Etiology

The most common tends to be the disruption of the lymphatic system. Surgeries, such as laparoscopic varicocelectomy, can either partially or completely disrupt testicular lymphatic drainage, which leads to the postoperative complication of hydrocele.[50]

The imbalance of drainage and input into the lymphatic tissue surrounding the scrotum is another cause of hydrocele.[9,51]

When hydrocele is the non communicating type, aquaporin channels may be responsible. (Hattori et al.[52])

Investigated the expression of aquaporin channels in relation to noncommunicating hydrocele. Tunica vaginalis of patients with hydrocele were studied and then compared to a non-hydrocele infected male control.

The study concluded by noting an over expression of aquaporin channel one within the tunica vaginalis in patients with a hydrocele.

The hydrocele fluid may manifest from increased fluid output from capillaries that contain the over expressing aquaporin channel 1, leading to lymphatic drainage that is less than the output.

A hydrocele can also occur after renal transplantation. This arises most probable because of lymphatic that have been disturbed. (Penn et al.[53]).

Discusses a study consisting of a series of renal transplantations and testicular complications.

Hydrocele was the most common complication due to a disruption of lymphatic channels along the iliac vessel. The lymphatic disruption caused the hydrocele because it negatively affected the absorption of the lymphatic's despite regular secretion of the fluid.

SECONDARY COMPLICATIONS

Masses that abnormally present within the scrotum have the potential to affect negatively the surroundings. The pressure within the testis can decrease the efficiency of spermatogenesis.

According to 120 biopsies conducted by (Dandapat et al.[62]).

Patients with and without hydrocele, those with hydrocele exhibited atrophy in 8% and flattening of the testis in 22% of cases studied 10% percent of affected patients had a partial arrest of spermatogenesis, while 8% had a total arrest.

Other histological findings include thickening of the basement membrane, tunica albuginea, and tunica vaginalis. The arrests of spermatogenesis likely due to increased pressure on the blood supply on the testis from edema.[62,63]

Failure to perform spermatogenesis may also result from a rise in intrascrotal temperature.[62]

Water, which has a very high specific heat compared to other liquids, is the major component of hydrocele. Due to resistance to the thermoregulatory mechanism, water can hold onto heat very well, leaving the area incapable of heat dissipation. High heat from water being trapped within the scrotum leaves the scrotum at a temperature too high for optimum spermatogenesis.[64,65]

Semen quality has been shown to decrease by 40% for every 1^0C the scrotum increases.[66]

Hydrocele has a direct link to male infertility. Benign and malignant tumors of the tunica vaginalis may be overshadowed by hydrocele. (Zaslau et al.[67]).

MANAGEMENT

There are two categories of surgical treatment, invasive and noninvasive.

Non invasive Options

Examination and ultrasound with duplex Doppler in upright and supine position must be performed before aspiration and sclerosing agents are used to treat hydrocele.

Two of the more frequently used noninvasive treatment options are aspiration and sclerotherapy. These methods are best used in conjugation with each other. When hydrocele is treated with aspiration alone, it typically reappears.[110]

According to one study, aspiration and sclerotherapy with doxycycline has an 80% success rate. There are other types of sclerosing agents besides doxycycline that may be used with sclerotherapy; Such agents may be considered just as successful as hydrocelectomy.

Surgical Procedures

Hydrocelectomy is one of the main surgical treatments for hydrocele. This procedure is highly recommended when the hydrocele is large and persistent.[124]

Hydroceletomy is more invasive compared to its nonsurgical counterpart, but in some cases has a higher success rate.

The main drawback of hydrocelectomy is its postoperative complications. Postoperative complications include scrotal edema, hematoma, chronic pain, decreased fertility, persistent swelling, Fournier's gangrene, and infection.[125–127]

Minimal access hydrolecetomy is a suggested alternative because it is less invasive and involves a smaller incision. A new minimal access hydrocelectomy is described by Saber that minimizes traumatic insult to the patient without sacrificing safety and efficacy. The operative time is quick (12–18 minutes) and recovery time is shortened in comparison with a traditional hydrocelectomy.[126]

Alternative Treatment

Infants who present with a hydrocele should undergo a more conservative approach to their hydrocele repair if little or no complications are present. (Koski et al.).

CONCLUSION

Numerous etiologies are responsible for hydroceles that can be classified as primary, secondary communicating, secondary noncommunicating, microbe-induced, inflammatory, iatrogenic, trauma-induced, tumor-induced, canal of Nuck, congenital, and giant. Hydrocele should be taken seriously and monitored closely. whether it is present in infants or older patients, especially when rare secondary complications are a threat to the patient's life or quality of life.

Diagnosis of hydrocele should shift away from transillumination and more towards radiologic imaging in both supine and upright positions. All treatment options should be considered before eliminating methods on a case-by-case basis.

REFERENCES

1. Yen CF, Wang CJ, Lin SL, Chang PC, Lee CL, Soong YK: Laparoscopic closure of pat- ent canal of Nuck for female indirect inguinal hernia. J Am Assoc Gynecol Laparosc, 2001; 8: 143–146.
2. Valentino M, Bertolotto M, Ruggirello M, Pavlica P, Barozzi L, Rossi C: Cystic lesions and scrotal fluid collections in adults: Ultra- sound findings. J Ultrasound, 2011; 14: 208– 215.
3. Barthold JS, Redman JF: Association of epididymal anomalies with patent proces- sus vaginalis in hernia, hydrocele and cryp- torchidism. J Urol, 1996; 156: 2054–2056.
4. Rahman N, Lakhoo K: Patent processus vagi- nalis: a window to the abdomen. Afr J Paedi- atr Surg, 2009; 6: 116–117.
5. Christensen T, Cartwright PC, Devries C, Snow BW: New onset of hydroceles in boys over 1 year of age. Int J Urol, 2006; 13: 1425–1427.
6. Wilson JM, Aaronson DS, Schrader R, Baskin LS: Hydrocele in the pediatric pa- tient: inguinal or scrotal approach? J Urol, 2008; 180(4 suppl): 1724–1727.
7. Akpo EE: Giant hydrocele--an epitome of neglect. Afr Health Sci., 2005; 5: 343-344.
8. Akkoyun I, Kucukosmanoglu I, Yalinkilinc E: Cyst of the canal of nuck in pediatric pa- tients. N Am J Med Sci., 2013; 5: 353–356.
9. Ozel A, Kirdar O, Halefoglu AM, Erturk SM, Karpat Z, Lo Russo G, Maldur V, Cantisani V: Cysts of the canal of Nuck: ultrasound and magnetic resonance imaging findings. J Ul- trasound, 2009; 12: 125–127.
10. Bouchikhi AA, Lamrani YA, Tazi MF, Mel- las S, Elammmari J, Khallouk A, Elfassi MJ, Farih MH: Unilateral primitive hydatid cyst with surgical resection of the scrotum: a case report. J Med Case. Rep., 2013; 7: 109.
11. Haouas N, Sahraoui W, Youssef A, Thabet I, Ben Sorba N, Jaidane M, Mosbah AT: Hy- datid cyst of the spermatic cord. Prog Urol, 2006; 16: 499–501.
12. Zikrillaev A: Hydatid echinococcosis of par- avesicular cellular tissue, simulating hydro- cele. Urologiia, 2002; 3: 55.
13. Sherchand JB, Obsomer V, Thakur GD, Hommel M: Mapping of lymphatic filariasis in Nepal. Filaria J., 2003; 2: 7.
14. Christiana O, Olajumoke M, Oyetunde S: Lymphatic filariasis and associated morbid- ities in rural communities of Ogun State, Southwestern Nigeria. Travel Med Infect Dis., 2014; 12: 95–101.
15. Noroes J, Dreyer G: A mechanism for chronic filarial hydrocele with implications for

its surgical repair. PLoS Negl Trop Dis., 2010; 4: e695.

16. Chandran PA, Jayaram G, Mahmud R, Anuar AK: Microfilaria in hydrocele fluid cytology. Malays J Pathol, 2004; 26: 119–123.
17. Ottesen EA: Lymphatic filariasis: Treatment, control and elimination. Adv Parasitol, 2006; 61: 395–441.
18. Ottesen EA, Duke BO, Karam M, Behbehani K: Strategies and tools for the control/elim- ination of lymphatic filariasis. Bull World Health Organ, 1997; 75: 491–503.
19. Kapur P, Caty MG, Glick PL: Pediatric her- nias and hydroceles. Pediatr Clin North Am, 1998; 45: 773–789.
20. Irabor DO, Ogundoyin O O, Ogunlana DI: Pregnancy, parturition, parity and position in the family. Any influence on the develop- ment of paediatric inguinal hernia/hydrocele? Niger J Med., 2014; 23: 311–314.
21. McCorkle HJ, Bell HG: Hydrocele of the femoral hernial sac. Ann Surg, 1941; 113: 264–267.
22. Abdellah AA, Musa AM, Bashier OH: Hydrocele of a femoral hernia in a young woman. S Afr J Surg, 2010; 48: 39.
23. Mote DG, Chakravarty KB: Hydrocoele of a femoral hernia sac. Hernia, 2009; 13: 657–658.
24. Salama N, Blgozah S: Immediate develop- ment of post-varicocelectomy hydrocele: a case report and review of the literature. J Med Case Rep., 2014; 8: 70.
25. Ein SH, Nasr A, Wales P, Gerstle T: The very large recurrent postoperative scrotal hydro- cele after pediatric inguinal hernia repair: a rare problem. Pediatr Surg Int., 2009; 25: 239–241.
26. Davies BW, Fraser N, Najmaldin AS, Squire BR, Crabbe DC, Stringer MD: A prospective study of neonatal inguinal herniotomy: the problem of the postoperative hydrocele. Pe- diatr Surg Int., 2003; 19: 68–70.
27. Lao OB, Fitzgibbons RJ Jr, Cusick RA: Pedi- atric inguinal hernias, hydroceles, and unde- scended testicles. Surg Clin North Am, 2012; 92: 487–504.
28. Obney N: Hydroceles of the testicle com- plicating inguinal hernias. Can Med Assoc J., 1956; 75: 733–736.
29. Ward JF, Moquin RR, Maurer ST: Expanding the differential diagnosis of the acute scro- tum: ventriculoperitoneal shunt herniation. Urology, 2001; 58: 281.
30. Ozveren MF, Kazez A, Cetin H, Ziyal IM: Migration of the abdominal catheter of a

ven- triculoperitoneal shunt into the scrotum--case report. Neurol Med Chir (Tokyo), 1999; 39: 313–315.

31. Ammar A, Ibrahim AW, Nasser M, Rashid M: CSF hydrocele--unusual complication of V-P shunt. Neurosurg Rev., 1991; 14: 141–143.
32. Beiko DT, Watterson JD, Cook AJ, Denstedt JD: Group A streptococcal hydrocele infec- tion and sepsis in a renal transplant recipient. Can J Urol, 2003; 10: 1768–1769.
33. Nellans RE, Ravera J: Seminoma in a renal transplant recipient. J Urol, 1975; 113: 871– 873.
34. Simaioforidis V, Kontos S, Fokitis I, Lefakis G, Koritsiadis S: Subcutaneous emphysema of the scrotum (pneumoscrotum) due to trau- matic pneumothorax: a case report. Cases J., 2008; 1: 293.
35. Trickett R, Dowd H: Angiokeratoma of the scrotum: a case of scrotal bleeding. Emerg Med J., 2006; 23: e57.
36. Koumanidou C, Manopoulou E, Pantazis J, Dermentzoglou V, Georgoulis P, Vakaki M, Kakavakis K: Scrotal hematocele as an un- usual presentation of blunt abdominal trauma in three male infants. J Clin Ultrasound, 2000; 28: 190–193.
37. Chaudhary S, Bhullar JS, Subhas G, Mittal VK: Hematocele after laparoscopic appen- dectomy. JSLS, 2012; 16: 660–662.
38. Lundy J, Hiles J, Paquette E: Bile causing an acute scrotum immediately after laparoscopic cholecystectomy. JSLS, 2006; 10: 379–380.
39. Cuervo JL, Ibarra H, Molina M: Abdominos- crotal hydrocele: its particular characteris- tics. J Pediatr Surg, 2009; 44: 1766–1770.
40. Sarwar U, Akhtar N: Fournier's gangrene developing secondary to infected hydrocele: a unique clinical scenario. Urol Ann, 2012; 4: 131–134.
41. Bruner DI, Ventura EL, Devlin JJ: Scrotal pyocele: Uncommon urologic emergency. J Emerg Trauma Shock, 2012; 5: 206.
42. Kapoor AK, Puri SK, Arora A, Upreti L, Puri AS: Case report: Filariasis presenting as an intra-abdominal cyst. Indian J Radiol Imag- ing, 2011; 21: 18–20.
43. Risaliti A, Corno V, Donini A, Cautero N, Baccarani U, Pasqualucci A, Terrosu G, Ced- olini C, Bresadola F: Laparoscopic treatment of symptomatic lymphoceles after kidney transplantation. Surg Endosc., 2000; 14: 293–295.
44. Weinberg EJ, DuCret RP, Foshager MC, Ber- man J, Bodeau GR, Kuni CC, Boudreau RJ: Scrotal urinoma as a complication of renal transplantation. Clin Nucl Med, 1994; 19: 749–750.

45. Ataus S, Yaycioglu O, Onder AU, Onal B, Solok V: Giant spontaneous urinoma draining from the scrotal wall. J Urol, 2000; 163: 1874–1875.
46. Firman R, Heiselman D, Lloyd T, Mardesich P: Pneumoscrotum. Ann Emerg Med., 1993; 22: 1353–1356.
47. Kizer JR, Bellah RD, Schnaufer L, Canning DA: Meconium hydrocele in a female new- born: an unusual cause of a labial mass. J Urol, 1995; 153: 188–190.
48. Aydin GB, Ciftçi AO, Yalçin B, Akçören Z, Ca lar M, Senocak ME, Büyükpamukçu M: Paratesticular metastasis from Wilms tumor associated with a hydrocele. Pediatr Blood Cancer, 2006; 47: 97–99.
49. Llarena Ibarguren R, Zabala Egurrola JA, Arruza Echebarria A, Moratalla Basante A, Marín Lafuente JC, Lozano Ortega JL, San- romá Ortueta I, Acha Pérez M, Albisu Tristán A, Blasco Villalonga M: Cytology positive for malignant cells in hydrocele secondary to testicular seminoma. Arch Esp Urol, 1994; 47: 71–72.
50. Golebiewski A, Krolak M, Komasara L, Czauderna P: Dye-assisted lymph ves- sels sparing laparoscopic varicocelec- tomy. J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A, 2007; 17: 360–363.
51. Wallace AF: Aetiology of the idiopathic hy- drocele. Br J Urol, 1960; 32: 79-96.
52. Hattori M, Tonooka A, Zaitsu M, Mi- kami K, Suzue-Yanagisawa A, Uekusa T, Takeuchi T: Overexpression of aquaporin 1 in the tunica vaginalis may contribute to adult-onset primary hydrocele testis. Adv Urol, 2014; 2014: 202434.
53. Penn I, Mackie G, Halgrimson CG, Starzl TE: Testicular complications fol- lowing renal transplantation. Ann Surg, 1972; 176: 697–699.
54. Ijaz S: Rheumatoid hydrocele. J R Soc Med, 2003; 96: 239–240.
55. Waldbaum RS, Green JL: Infected hydro- cele. Cause of acute scrotal pain. Urology, 1973; 2: 73–74.
56. Wani I, Rather M, Naikoo G, Gul I, Bhat Z, Baba A: Encysted hydrocele of cord in an adult misdiagnosed as irreducible hernia: a case report. Oman Med J., 2009; 24: 218–219.
57. Matsumoto A, Nagatomi Y, Sakai M, Oshi M: Torsion of the hernia sac within a hy- drocele of the scrotum in a child. Int J Urol, 2004; 11: 789–791.
58. Traubici J, Daneman A, Navarro O, Mo- hanta A, Garcia C: Original report. Testic- ular torsion in neonates and infants: sono- graphic features in 30 patients. AJR Am J Roentgenol, 2003; 180: 1143–1145.
59. Ilbey YO, Ozbek E, Simsek A: Torsion of testis with large epididymal cyst in a 57-

year-old man: a case report. Arch Ital Urol Androl, 2008; 80: 111–112.

60. Wilhelm E: The wandering testicular pain. Eur Urol, 1977; 3: 76–77.
61. Nazir SS, Khan M: Thrombosis of the dor- sal vein of the penis (Mondor's Disease): A case report and review of the literature. Indian J Urol, 2010; 26: 431–433.
62. Dandapat MC, Padhi NC, Patra AP: Effect of hydrocele on testis and spermatogenesis. Br J Surg, 1990; 77: 1293–1294.
63. Douglas JW, Hicks JA, Manners J, Hayes MC: A pressing diagnosis – a compromised testicle secondary to compartment syn- drome. Ann R Coll Surg Engl, 2008; 90: W6– 8.
64. Jung A, Schuppe HC: Influence of genital heat stress on semen quality in humans. Andrologia, 2007; 39: 203–215.
65. Jung A, Strauss P, Lindner HJ, Schuppe HC: Influence of heating car seats on scrotal temperature. Fertil Steril, 2008; 90: 335–339.
66. Hjollund NH, Storgaard L, Ernst E, Bonde JP, Olsen J: Impact of diurnal scrotal temperature on semen quality. Reprod Toxicol, 2002; 16: 215–221.
67. Zaslau S, Perlmutter AE, Farivar-Mohseni H, Chang WW, Kandzari SJ: Rhabdomyosarcoma of tunica vaginalis masquerading as hydrocele. Urology, 2005; 65: 1001.
68. Jankovichova T, Jankovich M, Ondrus D, Kajo K, Dubravicky J, Breza J: Extremely rare tumour--malignant mesothelioma of tu- nica vaginalis testis. Bratisl Lek Listy, 2015; 116: 574–576.
69. Gyapong M, Gyapong J, Weiss M, Tanner M: The burden of hydrocele on men in Northern Ghana. Acta Trop., 2000; 77: 287–70 294.
70. Waller JI, Hellwig CA: Cancer of the tunica vaginalis: review of literature and report of one case. J Urol, 1953; 70: 768–775.
71. Handa U, Bhutani A, Mohan H, Bawa AS: Role of fine needle aspiration cytol- ogy in nonneoplastic testicular and scro- tal lesions and male infertility. Acta Cytol, 2006; 50: 513–517.
72. Phillipou A, Castle D: Body dysmor- phic disorder in men. Aust Fam Physician, 2015; 44: 798–801.
73. Cuervo Pinna C, Rodríguez Rincón JP, García-Moreno AA, Cabello Padial J, Murillo Mirat J, Fernández de Alarcón L: Spontaneous rupture of hydrocele: an unusual complication. Actas Urol Esp., 1998; 22: 610–612.
74. Quint HJ, Miller JI, Drach GW: Rupture of a hydrocele: an unusual event. J Urol, 1992; 147: 1375–1377.

75. Payan HM, Mendoza C Jr, Ceraldi A: Dif- fuse leiomyomatous proliferation in the epi- didymis. A cause of pain in hydrocele. Arch Surg, 1967; 94: 427–429.
76. Cassidy FH, Ishioka KM, McMahon CJ, Chu P, Sakamoto K, Lee KS, Aganovic L: MR imaging of scrotal tumors and pseudo- tumors. Radiographics, 2010; 30: 665–683.
77. Marshall C, Markiewicz D, Bewley A: Adolescent "saxophone penis" secondary to Crohn's disease: misdiagnosed as being posttraumatic. Pediatr Dermatol, 2016; 33: e14–15.
78. Agrawal R, Chaurasia D, Jain M: Webbed penis: a rare case. Kathmandu Univ Med J (KUMJ), 2010; 8: 95–96.
79. Akgun C: A case of scrotal swelling mim- icking testicular torsion preceding Henoch-Schonlein vasculitis. Bratisl Lek Listy, 2012; 113: 382–383.
80. DaJusta DG, Granberg CF, Villanueva C, Baker LA: Contemporary review of testic- ular torsion: new concepts, emerging tech- nologies and potential therapeutics. J Pedi- atr Urol, 2013; 9(6 Pt A): 723–730.
81. Moon KH, Cho SJ, Kim KS, Park S, Park S: Recurrent varicoceles: causes and treatment using angiography and magnification as- sisted subinguinal varicocelectomy. Yonsei Med J., 2012; 53: 723–728.
82. Bryan NP, Jackson A, Raftery AT: Carci- noma of the sigmoid colon presenting as a scrotal swelling. Postgrad Med J., 1997; 73: 47–48.
83. Baskin LS: Case no. 1. Newborn scrotal swelling and testicular mass. Tech Urol, 2000; 6: 53–54.
84. Schuppe HC, Meinhardt A, Allam JP, Berg- mann M, Weidner W, Haidl G: Chronic or- chitis: a neglected cause of male infertility? Andrologia, 2008; 40: 84–91.
85. Adeniyi M, Wiggins B, Sun Y, Servilla KS, Hartshorne MF, Tzamaloukas AH: Scro- tal edema secondary to fluid imbalance in patients on continuous peritoneal dialysis. Adv Perit Dial., 2009; 25: 68–71.
86. Sabar R, Safadi W: Relieving the burden: palliative centesis of an oedematous scrotal wall due to anasarca in end-stage heart fail- ure. BMJ Case Rep., 2013; 2013.
87. Garrett JE, Cartwright PC, Snow BW, Cof- fin CM: Cystic testicular lesions in the pedi- atric population. J Urol, 2000; 163: 928–936.
88. Lund L, Kloster A, Cao T: The long-term efficacy of hydrocele treatment with aspi- ration and sclerotherapy with polidocanol compared to placebo: a prospective, dou- ble-blind, randomized study. J Urol, 2014; 191: 1347–1350.

89. Francis JJ, Levine LA: Aspiration and scle- rotherapy: a nonsurgical treatment option for hydroceles. J Urol, 2013; 189: 1725–1729.
90. Dahl BH: Partial gangrene of the scrotum and penis. A complication of sclerotherapy of testicular hydrocele. Tidsskr Nor Laege- foren, 1993; 113: 1232.
91. Jahnson S, Sandblom D, Holmang S: A randomized trial comparing 2 doses of polidocanol sclerotherapy for hydrocele or spermatocele. J Urol, 2011; 186: 1319–1323.
92. Ozkan S, Bircan K, Ozen H: Treatment of testicular hydrocele with tetracycline sclerotherapy. Int Urol Nephrol, 1990; 22: 67–69.
93. Miskowiak J, Christensen AB: Treatment of hydrocele testis by injection of tetracycline. Eur Urol, 1988; 14: 440–441.
94. Yamamoto M, Hibi H, Miyake K: A new sclerosant therapy for testicular hydrocele with aspiration and injection of OK-432. Int Urol Nephrol, 1994; 26: 205–208.
95. Shan CJ, Lucon AM, Arap S: Comparative study of sclerotherapy with phenol and sur- gical treatment for hydrocele. J Urol, 2003; 169: 1056–1059.
96. Roosen JU, Larsen T, Iversen E, Berg JB: A comparison of aspiration, antazoline sclero- therapy and surgery in the treatment of hy- drocele. Br J Urol, 1991; 68: 404–406.
97. Rencken RK, Bornman MS, Reif S: Multi- locular hydrocele after sclerotherapy. Br J Urol, 1988; 62: 92–93.
98. Garriga V, Serrano A, Marin A, Medrano S, Roson N, Pruna X: US of the tunica vaginalis testis: anatomic relationships and pathologic conditions. Radiographics, 2009; 29: 2017–2032.
99. Ding X: Sclerotherapy with tetracycline for hydrocele of the tunica vaginalis testis. Br J Urol, 1989; 64: 322.
100. Worthington-Kirsch RL: Injection sclero- therapy. Semin Intervent Radiol, 2005; 22: 209–217.
101. Dellis AE, Skolarikos A, Vavasis P, Spyro- poulos E, Kalentzos VN: Spontaneous hy- drocele resolution after hyperbaric oxygen treatment: a clinical case report. Undersea Hyperb Med, 2010; 37: 199–201.
102. Cimador M, Castagnetti M, De Grazia E: Management of hydrocele in adolescent patients. Nat Rev Urol, 2010; 7: 379–385.
103. Bin Y, Yong-Bao W, Zhuo Y, Jin-Rui Y: Minimal hydrocelectomy with the aid of scrotoscope: a ten-year experience. Int Braz J Urol, 2014; 40: 384–389.

104. Saber A: New minimally access hydrocelectomy. Urology, 2011; 77: 487–490.
105. Al-Ali BM, Popper H, Pummer K: A case of Fournier's gangrene after hydrocelectomy. Cent European J Urol, 2012; 65: 92–93.
106. Nicolson JW: Treatment of hydrocele byinjection. Br Med J., 1947; 2: 188.
107. Sirpa A, Martti AO: Results of fibrin glue application therapy in testicular hydrocele. Eur Urol, 1998; 33: 497–499.
108. Koski ME, Makari JH, Adams MC, Thomas JC, Clark PE, Pope JC 4th, Brock JW 3rd: Infant communicating hydroceles--do they need immediate repair or might some clinically resolve? J Pediatr Surg, 2010; 45: 590–593.
109. Sardar Ali Khan Department of Urology, Health Sciences Center T9-040 Stony Brook University School of Medicine, 101 Nicolls Road © 2016 S. Karger AG, Basel, Accessible online at: www.karger.com/cur, Hydroceles of the Pelvis and Groin Curr Urol, 2016; 10: 1–14.